arXiv:hep-th/9811110v1 12 Nov 1998

Supergeometry in Equivariant Cohomology

Armen Nersessian[1,2]

[1] Bogolyubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR,
Dubna, 141980, Russia
[2] Department of Theoretical Physics, Yerevan State University,
A. Manoukian st., 5, Yerevan, 375012 Armenia
E-mail: nerses@thsun1.jinr.ru

Abstract. We analyze S^1 equivariant cohomology from the supergeometrical point of view. For this purpose we equip the external algebra of given manifold with equivariant even super(pre)symplectic structure, and show, that its Poincare-Cartan invariant defines equivariant Euler classes of surfaces. This allows to derive localization formulae by use of superanalog of Stockes theorem.

1 Introduction

Since the late eighties localization formulae attract permanent interest in the physical community due their application to evaluation of path integrals in quantum mechanics, topological and supersymmetric field theories (see [1] and refs therein).

The original localization formula [2](Duistermaat and Heckman) states, that if (M, ω, S^1) is $2n$-dimensional compact symplectic manifold, and $H(x)$ is the Hamiltonian, generating S^1-group symplectic action, the classical partition function is localized over the critical points of Hamiltonaian

$$\left(\frac{i\phi}{2\pi}\right)^{2n} \int_M \mathrm{e}^{i\phi H} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \sum_{dH=0} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i\phi H}\sqrt{\det \omega}}{\sqrt{\det \mathrm{Pf} H}}. \tag{1}$$

Application of this formula to path integrals gives an elegant way for their evaluation, as well as the conditions of exactness of stationary phase approximation.

Localization formula of Duistermaat and Heckman can be naturally interpreted in terms of equivariant cohomology * [3](Atiah and Bott). This allowed one to obtain other localization formulae, related with both Abelian (see, e.g. [4]), and non-Abelian [5] equivariant cohomologies. Also other features of equivariant cohomologies relevant to various aspects of quantum field theory were found (see [1], [5], [6] and refs therein).

The language of supersymmetry simplifies greatly formulation of equivariant cohomologies, openings new horizons in their study by use of advanced

* G-equivariant cohomology of the G-manifold (M, G) called the G-invariant cohomology of the factormanifold M/G

supermatematical technique [7](Schwarz and Zaboronsky). It gives the shortest way for incorporation of equivariant cohomology in supersymmetric theories and BRST quantization methods.

In the framework of supermathematical description of equivariant cohomology the exterior algebra ΛM of the given manifold M is considered a supermanifold, parametrized by local coordinates $z^A = (x^i, \theta^i)$, where x^i are local coordinates of M and θ^i are basic 1-forms dx^i, $p(\theta^i) = 1$. Thus, differential forms on M can be considered as functions defined on a supermanifold. The operators of exterior derivative, Lie derivative and inner product are represented by vector fields. Divergency operator is represented by odd second-order differential operator, known as "Batalin-Vilkovisky Δ-operator".

Most convenient model of S^1-equivariant cohomology, the so-called Cartan model, is formulated in terms of simplest superalgebra

$$[\hat{E}, \hat{E}]_+ = 2\hat{X}, \tag{2}$$

realized by the vector fields:

$$\hat{X} = \xi^i(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \xi^i_{,k}(x)\theta^k\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i}, \quad \hat{E} = \xi^i(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i} + \theta^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{3}$$

where vector field $\xi = \xi^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ defines infinitesimal S^1-action on M.

It is obvious that vector $\hat{X}$ corresponds to Lie derivative of differential forms. The vector $\hat{E}$ corresponds to sum of operators of exterior derivative and inner product. Expression (2) is nothing else but the homotopy formula $L_\xi = d\imath_\xi + \imath_\xi d$. Thus, restriction of $\hat{E}$ field (equivariant differential) to the (sub)space of $\hat{X}$-invariant functions is nilpotent, and its cohomologies on this subspace defines the equivariant cohomologies of (M, S^1).

To relate equivariant cohomologies with localization formulae, let consider the following functional

$$Z^\lambda(A) = \int_{\Lambda(M)} A(x,\theta)\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\hat{E}\Psi}\mathcal{D}(x,\theta), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is volume element, A and Ψ are respectively even and odd functions on ΛM, λ is arbitrary parameter.

This functional is $\hat{E}$-invariant, if requires:

$$\hat{E}A = 0, \quad \hat{X}\Psi = 0, \quad div_{\mathcal{D}}\hat{E} = 0. \tag{5}$$

Applying standard BRST analyzes, one can find, that the functional (4) is λ-independent, i.e. only S^1-equivariant forms have contribution to its value.

In order to derive localization formulae, we have to choose the "gauge fermion"

$$\Psi = \xi^i g_{ij}\theta^i, \tag{6}$$

where g is an S^1-invariant Riemann metric: $\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0$.

Taking into account the following representation of δ-function

$$\delta(\xi) = \frac{\lambda^{\dim M}}{\pi^{\dim M}\sqrt{\det g_{ij}}} \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\xi^i g_{ij}\xi^j} \tag{7}$$

we find, that in $\lambda \to \infty$ limit the functional (4) localizes in the critical points of ξ.

For example, for a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω, S^1), where $\xi = \omega^{-1}(dH, \ )$ one can choose $\mathcal{D} = 1$ and A is equivariant Chern class $Ch(H, \omega)$ defined as follows

$$Ch(H, \omega) \equiv \exp i\phi(H - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ij}\theta^i\theta^j). \tag{8}$$

Substituting these expressions in (4), we will get Duistermaat-Heckman formula (1).

There is also another $\hat{E}$-invariant function, $Eu(\xi, g)$

$$Eu(\xi, g) \equiv \sqrt{\det(\xi^i_{;j} + R^i_{jkl}\theta^k\theta^l)}, \tag{9}$$

called equivariant Euler class.

Substituting this function in (4), one gets that in $\lambda \to 0$ limit we recognize the statement of Poincare-Hopf theorem , while in $\lambda \to \infty$ limit: Gauss-Bonnet Theorem.
Derivation of another existing localization formulae is analogous.

In present paper we study S^1 equivariant cohomology from the point of view of supersymplectic geometry. The key observation is that on ΛM there exists $\hat{E}$-invariant presymplectic structure, whose Poincare-Cartans ivariants define equivariant Euler classes of the surfaces in given manifold. This allows one to formulate an analog of the functional (4) on surfaces in ΛM, and to derive localization formulae by use of generalization of Stokes theorem for even symplectic supermanifolds [8] (Khudaverdian, Schwarz and Tyupkin), and relate them with topological numbers of surfaces. In a contrast to equivariant Euler classes, equivariant Chern classes are superfunctions, related with the odd symplectic structure, constructed on the exterior algebra of symplectic manifold [12].

Remarkable point of actual approach is that it is based on the odd symplectic geometry, which gives transparent parallels with Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism [9].

This make possible the application to equivariant cohomologies the results, concerning the geometry of Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism ([10]). From the other hand, using of recently developed technique of quantization of antibrackets [11](Batalin and Marnelius,1998) seems fruitful in application of equivariant cohomology to path integrals.

2 Equivariant Euler Class.

Let us consider (M, S^1) manifold with the S^1-invariant metric g. Using this metrics, let us construct on ΛM an odd $\hat{X}$-invariant one-form and corresponding odd symplectic structure:

$$\mathcal{A} = \theta^i g_{ij} dx^j, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{A} = 0; \tag{10}$$

$$\Omega_1 = d\mathcal{A} = g_{ij} dx^i \wedge D\theta^j, \, \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}}\Omega_1 = 0, \tag{11}$$

where

$$D\theta^j \equiv g_{ij} dx^i \wedge (d\theta^i + \Gamma^i_{kl}\theta^k dx^l), \tag{12}$$

and Γ^i_{kl} denote Cristoffel symbols of the metrics g.

From this follows, that $\hat{X}$ is hamiltonian vector field one with respect to (11), and the gauge fermion (6), plays the role of Hamiltonian:

$$d\Psi = \Omega_1(\hat{X}, \quad), \quad \Psi = \xi^i g_{ij}\theta^j. \tag{13}$$

Forms $\mathcal{A}$ and Ω_1 possess remarkable property: being $\hat{X}-$ invariant, they are not $\hat{E}$-invariant:

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\hat{E}}\mathcal{A} \neq 0; \quad \Omega_0 \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\hat{E}}\Omega_1 \neq 0. \tag{14}$$

Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{E} = \xi_i dx^i + \theta^i g_{ij} D\theta^j, \tag{15}$$

$$\Omega_0 = \tfrac{1}{2}(\xi_{[i,j]} + g_{in}R^n_{jkl}\theta^k\theta^l)dx^i \wedge dx^j + g_{ij} D\theta^i \wedge D\theta^j. \tag{16}$$

From Eqs. (15) and (16) it follows immediately, that the forms $\mathcal{E}$ and Ω are $\hat{E}$-invariant. Indeed

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{E}}\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}_{\hat{E}}\mathcal{L}_{\hat{E}}\mathcal{A} = 2\mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{A} = 0.$$

Similarly one can check $\hat{E}$-invariance of the second structure.

Since the two-form Ω is closed, we conclude, that *the expression (16) defines the S^1-equivariant even pre-symplectic structure on ΛM.*

The Hamiltonians of the vector fields, given by Eq.(3) read:

$$\Omega_0(\hat{X}, \quad) = d\mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \hat{E}\Psi = \xi^i g_{ij}\xi^j - \xi_{i;j}\theta^i\theta^j. \tag{17}$$

$$\Omega_0(\hat{E}, \quad) = d\Psi, \quad \Psi = \xi_i\theta^i. \tag{18}$$

Consider now a closed surface $\Gamma \subset \Lambda M$, $\Omega|_\Gamma \neq 0$, parametrized by the equations $z^A = z^A(w)$, where w^μ are local coordinates of Γ.

One can construct a closed density on Γ, invariant under canonical transformations of Ω_0 (Poincare-Cartan invariant), which defines characteristic class of Γ [8]:

$$\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(z(w), \partial z(w)) = \sqrt{Ber\frac{\partial z^A}{\partial w^\mu}\Omega_{(0)AB}\frac{\partial z^B}{\partial w^\nu}}. \tag{19}$$

Taking into account, that $\hat{E}$-field generates canonical transformation, we conclude, that the density, given by Eq.(19) is $\hat{E}-$invariant. Thus, it defines an

equivariant characteristic class of Γ surface. When Γ coincides with ΛM, this density is just *equivariant Euler class* (9) .

Let us give some explicit realization of density, given by Eq. (19). Consider surface $\Gamma \subset \Lambda M$, given by the equations

$$z^A = z^A(w): \quad x^i = x^i(y^a), \quad \theta^i = P^i_\alpha(y)\eta^\alpha, \qquad p(\eta) = p(y) + 1. \tag{20}$$

Here $w^\mu = (y^a, \eta^\alpha)$ are local coordinates on Γ, where y^a are local coordinates on $N \subset M$ (notice, that after change of the grading $p(\eta) \to p(\eta) + 1$ lead to vector bundle $V(N) \subset T(M)$, associated with Γ).

The restriction of presymplectic structure (16), on Γ_0 looks as follows

$$\Omega_0|_{\Gamma_0} = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{[a,b]} + g_{\alpha\delta}R^\delta_{\beta ab}\eta^\alpha\eta^\beta)dy^a \wedge dy^b + g_{\alpha\beta}D\eta^\alpha \wedge D\eta^\beta, \tag{21}$$

where

$$D\eta^\alpha \equiv d\eta^\alpha + A^\alpha_\beta\eta^\beta, \quad A^\alpha_\beta = g^{\alpha\delta}P^i_\delta g_{ij}\left(P^j_{\beta,a} + \Gamma^j_{lk}P^k_\beta\frac{\partial x^l}{\partial y^a}\right)dy^a,$$

defines connection one-form, compatible with the induced metric on fiber, given by the following expression

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = P^i_\alpha g_{ij} P^j_\beta,$$

$R^\delta_{\beta ab}dy^a \wedge dy^b$ is the curvature of the connection A^α_β, while

$$\xi_{[a,b]}dy^a \wedge dy^b \equiv \xi_{i;j}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^a}\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^b}dy^a \wedge dy^b$$

is induced (pre)symplectic structure on N.

Thus, on the surface Γ_0 the density (19) takes the following form

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega|\Gamma_0, w) = \left(\frac{\det(\xi_{[a,b]} + g_{\alpha\delta}R^\delta_{\beta ab}\eta^\alpha\eta^\beta)}{\det g_{\alpha\beta}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{22}$$

3 Equivariant Chern Class.

The supergeometrical origin of equivariant Chern class, given by Eq.(8) considerably simpler, than Euler one. Chern class is a function on the exterior algebra of symplectic manifold (M, ω, S^1), with S^1-group action, generated by the Hamiltonian H: $\xi = \omega^{-1}(dH, \ )$.

This algebra can be equipped with odd $\hat{E}$-invariant symplectic structure Ω, in contrast to Ω_1, given by Eq.(11) [12]. Indeed,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}}\omega = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{E}}\omega \equiv \Omega \neq 0, \ \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\hat{E}}\Omega = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}}\omega = 0, \quad d\Omega = 0.$$

Explicitly, Ω looks as follows

$$\Omega = \omega_{ij} dx^i \wedge d\theta^j + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ij,k}\theta^k dx^i \wedge dx^j. \tag{23}$$

The Hamiltonians of the vector fields $\hat{E}$ and $\hat{X}$ are defined by the following expressions:

$$d(H - F) = \Omega(\hat{E}, \ ), \quad Q = \Omega(\hat{X}, \ ), \tag{24}$$

where

$$F = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ij}\theta^i\theta^j, \ \ Q = \hat{E}H = \theta^i \partial H/\partial x^i.$$

Functions $F,\ Q,\ H$, form superalgebra

$$\begin{gathered} \{H \pm F, H \pm F\} = \pm 2Q, \quad \{H + F, H - F\} = 0 \\ \{H \pm F, Q\} = \{Q, Q\} = 0, \end{gathered} \tag{25}$$

where $\{ \ , \ \}$ denotes the antibracket, corresponding to the odd symplectic structure Ω given by Eq. (23).

The functions H, F, Q forms algebra (25) with respect to odd symplectic structure given by Eq.(11), only if (M, g, ω) is a Kahler manifold. This is the only case, when antibrackets, corresponding to these symplectic structures are compatible in the sense of bi-Hamiltonian mechanics. Other relations of equivariant Chern classes with supersymmetric mechanics can be found in Ref.[12].

4 Localization Formulae.

Above we constructed $\hat{E}$-invariant closed density (19), corresponding to equivariant Euler classes. Using this density, we can define the following functional

$$Z^\lambda(\Gamma, A) = \int_\Gamma A(z) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \hat{E}\Psi} \mathcal{D}_\Gamma \tag{26}$$

on the surface Γ.
This functional become $\hat{E}$-invariant, if we assume, as in Introduction, $\hat{E}A = \hat{X}\Psi = 0$. From $\hat{E}$-invariance follows its λ-independence.

Since the density (19) is closed, it doesn't change under smooth deformation of Γ if $A = 1$ [8], i.e. it is defines a topological invariant. In $\lambda \to 0$ and $\lambda \to \infty$ limits one reproduces the statements of Gauss-Bonnet and Poincare-Hopf theorems for Euler characters of the surfaces. Choosing $A = Ch(H, \omega)$ one obtains a generalization of these statements, while $A = Ch(H, \omega)Eu^{-1}(M, g)$ corresponds to Duistermaat-Heckman formula for a surface.

Using the functional (26), one can easily derive localization formulae for the case, when the critical points of ξ-field form some submanifold M_0 [14](Niemi and Palo, 1994). Consider the decomposition $M = M_0 \cup N$, where M_0 is the set of critical points of ξ and N is normal bundle to M_0. Under appropriate choice of $A(z)$ discussed above, one comes to formulae, derived in [14].

Finally, let us give the dual construction for the functional (26), when critical points of ξ are isolated. Let Γ be parametrized by the set equations $f^a(z) = 0$, $a = 1, ...\text{codim } \Gamma$. In this case the functional (26) can be presented in the following form

$$Z = \int_{\Lambda M} A(z)\delta(f^a)\sqrt{\text{Ber}\{f^a, f^b\}_0}\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda M}(z), \tag{27}$$

where $\{f(z), g(z)\}_0$ is Poisson bracket, corresponding to the (pre)symplectic 2-form (16).

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank I.A.Batalin, O. M. Khudaverdian, A. Niemi for the interest to work and useful comments.

Special thanks to C.Sochichiu for careful reading of manuscript and numerous remarks.

This work has been supported in part by grants INTAS-RFBR No.95-0829, INTAS-96-538 and INTAS-93-127-ext .

References

1 A.J.Niemi, O.Tirkkonen, *Ann. Phys.* **235**(1994), 318;
2 J.J. Duistermaat, G.H.Heckman, *Inv. Math.* **69**(1982) 259; *ibid***72** (1983), 153
3 M.F. Atiah, R.Bott, *Topology*, **23** (1984), 1
4 N.Berline, E.Getzler, M.Vergne, *Heat Kernel and Dirac Operators*(Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1991)
5 E.Witten, *J.Geom.Phys.*, **9**(1992), 303
L.C.Jefferey, F.C. Kirwan, `alg-geom/9307001`
6 M.F.Atiah, L.Jefferey, *J.Geom.Phys.*, **7**(1990), 119
M.Blau, F.Hussain, G.Tompson, *Nucl.Phys.* **B488**(1997), 541
7 A.Schwarz, O.Zaboronsky, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **183**(1996), 463
8 O.M.Khudaverdian, A.S.Schwarz, Yu.S.Tyupkin, *Lett.Math.Phys.* **5**(1981), 517
9 I.A.Batalin, G.A.Vilkovisky, *Phys.Lett.* **B102**(1981), 27; Phys.Rev. **D28**(1983) 2563; Nucl.Phys.**B234**(1984), 106
10 A.Schwarz, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **155**(1993), 249; *ibid.*, **158**(1993), 373
O.M.Khudaverdian, `dg-ga/9706004`, *Comm.Math.Phys.*(1998) (in press)
11 I.A.Batalin, R. Marnelius,*Phys.Lett.* **B434**(1998), 312; `hep-th/9809208`
12 A.Nersessian, *JETP Lett.* **58**, No. 1 (1993), 66 (`hep-th/9305181`)
13 A.Nersessian, *NATO ASI Series B:Physics*, **331**, 353 (`hep-th/9306111`); `hep-th/9310013`
14 A.J.Niemi, K.Palo, `hep-th/940668`